### THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT ASHEVILLE

#### **FACULTY SENATE**

Senate Document Number	<u>6209S</u>				
Date of Senate Approval	04/09/09				
Statement of Faculty Senate Action:					

#### Sense of the Senate Resolution

In implementing the relevant recommendations of the Task Force on Student Rating of Instruction, the university should

1. Adopt the revised form called Experimental Form 1 (attached), campus-wide, for at least one year, beginning in Fall, 2009, to be followed by a review, implemented by the Provost in consultation with the Faculty Welfare and Development Committee, within one academic year. The form should be augmented with clear instructions, as follows:

Purpose of this form: This form is designed to 1) help your instructor improve his/her teaching in subsequent courses by identifying strengths and weaknesses in the course; and 2) provide the University with information on teaching effectiveness. Student evaluations are anonymous. Instructors never see your hand-written comments, and they do not receive any results from the rating process until after course grades have been submitted. Please do not sign your name.

USING THIS FORM

COMPLETE THIS FORM IN PENCIL

Mark One response per question

Make Dark Heavy Marks

Erase Completely to Change

- 2. Acknowledge that this form and the rating process associated with it are summative and that the "ownership" of the results lies outside the individual faculty member.
- 3. Encourage each department--or program with teaching responsibilities--to adopt a policy on how best to use *formative* student ratings of instruction for improvement of instruction. The results will belong exclusively to the affected faculty member.
- 4. Create a task force to include representatives of the FWDC, the office assistants group, IT, and the assessment team to explore whether and how evaluation can be put online while retaining assurances of confidentiality, responsibility, adequacy of response rate, and reliability.

## Appendix 1 Experimental Form 1



# Student Rating of Instruction

\_Section:\_\_\_

Course:

A CTIT'S FIT I T	Instructor:				
ASHEVILLE	Year:				
Use the following scale to indicate the amount of progress	you made on each of the objectives I	isted below.			
1 = No apparent progress 2 = Slight progress; I made small gains on this objective 3 = Moderate progress; I made some gains on this objective 4 = Substantial progress; I made large gains on this objective is not applicable to this class.	ective jective				
A. Student Progress:					
1. Gaining knowledge	1 2	5 N/A			
2. Understanding ideas	1 2	5 N/A			
Developing creative capacities	1 2	5 N/A			
4. Developing writing skills	1 2	5 N/A			
5. Developing speaking/discussion skills	1 2	5 N/A			
Developing quantitative skills	1 2	5 N/A			
7. Learning to analyze and evaluate ideas, texts, and a	rguments 1 2	5 N/A			
B. How often did your Instructor do the following?	Hardly	Some- Almos			
Explained course material clearly	Ever	Occasionally times Frequently Alway			
Created a classroom environment that encourages significantly.		2 3 4 5			
Displayed an interest in student learning	•	2 3 4 5			
Was accessible outside of class					
Gave assignments that contributed to my understand		2 3 4 5			
Provided useful feedback on my performance					
7. Helped me develop an appreciation for the subject		2 3 4 5			
8. Made me think	1	2 3 4 5			
C. Overall Assessment:					
1. Dating of the instructor	·	sfactory Good Excellent			
Rating of the instructor      Pating of the course.		3 4 5			
Rating of the course	1 2	3 4 5			
What grade do you expect to earn in this course?	A B C D F S	J I W T			