

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT ASHEVILLE

FACULTY SENATE

Senate Document Number 3111S

Date of Senate Approval 04/07/11

Statement of Faculty Senate Action:

FWDC 9: Editorial Changes to Section 3 of the Faculty Handbook

Effective date: Immediately

Summary: In preparation for SACS review, beginning in Summer 2012, Provost Fernandes asked FWDC and Jeff Konz, Dean of Social Sciences, to review the Faculty Handbook for consistency, correctness, and clarity. We determined that the most important sections of the handbook for SACS are Section 2 (Hiring-Termination: Ranks, Searches, Contracts, Benefits) and Section 3 (Faculty Rights, Responsibilities and Evaluations). We have completed our review of Section 3 and propose the following changes. It is the judgment of FWDC that all of the following changes are editorial rather than substantive. Substantive changes will be proposed in subsequent documents. While editorial changes do not ordinarily require Senate action, we believe that the volume of changes we have made warrant a document in this case. Specific explanations of the most significant editorial changes in Section 3:

- 1) All references to "VCAA" or "Vice Chancellor of Academic Affairs" have been changed to "Provost and VCAA" or "Provost and Vice Chancellor of Academic Affairs" to reflect the formal title of the Chief Academic Officer. Likewise, all references to "Associate Vice Chancellors of Academic Affairs" or "AVCAAs" are changed to "Deans."
- 2) "UNCA" is changed to "UNC Asheville" throughout.
- 3) In 3.1.1, the AAUP 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure was quoted incorrectly. The edited language is verbatim.
- 4) In 3.1.2.D.4, there is no formal requirement that all faculty are observed by peers *each year*. It is simpler to simply state that chairs evaluate in accordance with policy.
- 5) Changes in 3.1.4.1.2 clarify that the policy is about *compensation* for overload teaching.
- 6) Changes in 3.1.4.3.2 on adverse weather reflect actual UNCA policy.
- 7) Section 3.1.4.3.5 is redundant; both sentences are addressed in 3.1.4.3.1 as revised. Similarly, Section 3.1.4.6 was seen as unnecessary.
- 8) Section 3.2.2 is redundant, being in 4.1.2.
- 9) In Section 3.3.1, the struck sentences appear in 3.3.3.1.1 and 3.3.3.1.2 almost immediately below, and are redundant.
- 10) The first clause in 3.5.4.1 is not in the original document and is irrelevant, since these procedures wouldn't apply to lecturers anyway.
- 11) The language inserted into 3.5.4.2, items 6 and 7, is to bring them into accord with 3.5.4.4.2.

12) The deleted paragraph in 3.5.4.4 referring to lecturers is irrelevant because the issuance of new contracts to lecturers no longer involves the Committee of Tenured Faculty, per Senate Document 1003F. The issuance of new contracts to lecturers is upon the recommendation of the Deans to the Provost.

13) The deleted language in 3.7.2 is no longer relevant, as the implementation of Post Tenure Review took place a decade ago.

14) In 3.7.2, Sections E and F are switched to be consistent with the dossier given in Section A. In addition, it is specified that the “Results of Peer Observation of Teaching” should be submitted as a separate document, as implied by it being listed separately in the dossier.

Revised Faculty Handbook, Section 3

3.0 FACULTY RIGHTS, RESPONSIBILITIES AND EVALUATIONS

3.1 Faculty Rights and Responsibilities ([SD0294F](#), revised by [SD2102S](#))

The professional responsibilities of full-time faculty at UNC Asheville are divided among the three general headings of teaching, scholarship and service. All three are considered important, but historically UNC Asheville has placed the heaviest emphasis on teaching. The way in which faculty members meet these three responsibilities will vary from department to department and may differ throughout a faculty member's career. Faculty members must carry out these responsibilities in a professional, ethical and collegial manner that enhances the purposes of UNC Asheville.

As a relatively small, primarily undergraduate, liberal arts university, UNC Asheville properly requires excellence in teaching as its first priority. Teaching loads are also heavier at UNC Asheville than at some of the larger institutions in the UNC system. In order to teach well at the university level, up to several hours of preparation may be required for every hour in the classroom. Besides direct preparation for class, university faculty are also expected to keep abreast of the literature of their respective disciplines in order to incorporate current insights into their teaching. In addition, many more hours of follow-up are often necessary to meet with students, support ongoing projects, and evaluate their completed work. Finally, faculty are often asked to present material in courses in other departments.

[While the category of scholarship or creative activity is sometimes considered separate from teaching, at ~~UNCA~~ [UNC Asheville] the two are closely linked. University instruction presupposes scholarship. It takes the form of original research or creative endeavors, integrative scholarship, and sharing knowledge with peers in the profession. This involves attending and presenting at professional conferences; writing books, articles, and book reviews; and preparing performances and exhibitions. At ~~UNCA~~ [UNC Asheville], given the emphasis on teaching mentioned above, the participation in undergraduate research and research for new course preparation are also given special attention.

The service responsibilities of faculty members involve the utilization of their expertise in their departments, colleges, institutions, professions, and communities. Service activities include academic and other advising; sponsoring of student and other organizations; laboratory and studio management; involvement in departmental, college and university committees; participation in faculty governance bodies; administrative assignments; and seeking external funding. Outside the university, it often involves service in professional organizations; editorship of professional journals; lectures; performances; and readings at local, regional, or state clubs and organizations; sponsorship of conferences; consulting; service to public schools; and other service to various agencies and organizations. Also, ~~UNCA~~ [UNC Asheville] has a tradition of heavy faculty participation in university governance; hence, more involvement in university service is expected here than at many other universities.

(For specific procedures on tenure, reappointment, and promotion, refer to ~~that section of Faculty Handbook~~ [sections 3.3-3.7].)

3.1.1 Academic Freedom

Chapter VI, Sections 600 and 601 of The Code of the University reads as follows:

Section 600: Freedom and Responsibility in the University Community.

1. The University of North Carolina is dedicated to the transmission and advancement of knowledge and understanding. Academic freedom is essential to the achievement of these purposes. The University therefore supports and encourages freedom of inquiry for faculty members and students, to the end that they may responsibly pursue these goals through teaching, learning, research, discussion and publication, free from internal or external restraints that would unreasonably restrict their academic endeavors.
2. The University and each constituent institution shall protect faculty and students in their responsible exercise of the freedom to teach, to learn, and otherwise to seek and speak the truth.
3. Faculty and students of The University of North Carolina shall share in the responsibility for maintaining an environment in which academic freedom flourishes and in which the rights of each member of the academic community are respected.

Section 601: Academic Freedom and Responsibility of Faculty

1. It is the policy of The University of North Carolina to support and encourage full freedom, within the law, of inquiry, discourse, teaching, research and publication for all members of the academic staffs of the constituent institutions. Members of the faculty are expected to recognize that accuracy, forthrightness and dignity befit their association with the University and their position as men and women of learning. They should not represent themselves, without authorization, as spokesmen for The University of North Carolina or any of its constituent institutions.
2. The University and its constituent institutions shall not penalize or discipline members of their faculties because of the exercise of academic freedom in the lawful pursuit of their respective areas of scholarly and professional interest and responsibility.

UNC Asheville

As a constituent institution of the UNC System UNC Asheville subscribes to the foregoing statement as well as the following AAUP [1940] Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure.

1. ~~The teacher is~~ [Teachers are] entitled to full freedom in research and in the publication of the results, subject to the adequate performance of their other academic duties; but research for pecuniary return should be based upon an understanding with the authorities of the institution.
2. ~~The teacher is~~ [Teachers are] entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing their subject, but they should be careful not to introduce into their teaching controversial matter which has no relation to the subject. Limitations of academic freedom because of religious or other aims of the institution should be clearly stated in writing at the time of the appointment.
3. ~~The college or university teacher is a citizen, a member of a learned profession, and an officer of an educational institution.~~ [College and university teachers are citizens, members of a learned profession, and officers of an educational institution.] When they speak or write as a ~~citizen~~ [citizens], they should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but their special position in the community imposes special obligations. As a ~~person of learning~~ [scholars] and an educational officer[s], they should remember that the public may judge their profession and their institution by their utterances. Hence, they should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, should show respect for the opinions of others, and should make every effort to indicate that they are not an ~~institutional spokesperson~~ [speaking for the institution].

3.1.2 Duties of Department Chairs/Program Directors

Department Chairs (Revised by Provost and VCAA 2/27/06 in consultation with the assembled department Chairs and program Directors)

The department Chair is a member of the faculty who is appointed by the [Provost and] VCAA to perform the administrative functions described below in addition to the usual faculty responsibilities. For performing these duties, the Chair receives an annual stipend and a reduced teaching load. The Chair is directly responsible to the program area AVCAA [Dean] and is normally appointed to a four year term. Consecutive reappointments for variable terms may be made.

The Chair is the leader of the department faculty and the manager of all departmental affairs and operations. Chairs may, at their discretion, delegate certain specific functions to members of the department. General leadership functions and evaluation responsibilities may not be delegated. At all times, the Chair remains accountable for the actions of the delegate.

Chairs serve a University function as well as a departmental one. The Chair, therefore, is expected to convey to the department members a university-wide view of issues and initiatives and to ensure department participation in same. The major responsibilities and authority of the position are stated as, but not limited to, the following:

A. Department Leadership

1. Attend all meetings of department chairs and program directors convened by the [Provost and] VCAA or others. (Because these meetings traditionally are scheduled at 3:30 PM on Mondays, individuals serving as department chairs should not be scheduled to teach at that time.)
2. Convene department meetings at least once each month during the academic year.
3. Communicate information regarding institutional issues, priorities, policies and initiatives to department faculty.
4. Lead department discussion of issues, priorities, etc and communicate department perspectives to the program area AVCAA [Dean] on a timely basis.
5. Ensure department participation in recruitment and advising events including but not limited to Admissions events (e.g., open house), orientation sessions (e.g., Summit), [and] scholarship interviews (e.g., Laurels).
6. Ensure department participation in the Integrative Liberal Studies program (e.g., through regular scheduling of LSIC courses, development of proposals for intensive courses).
7. Encourage department participation in other University programs (e.g., Honors, Undergraduate Research).
8. Appoint department liaisons to other University areas (e.g., Admissions, Ramsey Library).
9. Prepare announcements related to departmental programs, students and faculty/staff members (e.g., for Public Information).

B. University Service

1. Serve as consultants to the [Provost and] VCAA, and through him/her to the Chancellor and other Vice Chancellors, providing input on major issues facing the institution, such as planning, academic or administrative problems, and relations with the outside community.
2. Complete special assignments, such as service on institutional task force groups, as requested by the [Provost and] VCAA or his/her designee.

C. Academic Programs

1. Oversee the development, operation and revision of the department academic programs.

2. Develop and periodically revise the department's Institutional Effectiveness Plan. (Although reports on these plans are submitted biennially, data for these reports are collected annually.)
3. Serve as liaison with individuals and University groups involved in program development, approval and evaluation (e.g., program area AVCAA[Dean], Academic Policy Committee, Institutional Development Committee).
4. Prepare course schedule for each semester.
5. Approve special topics courses.
6. Approve course substitutions.

D. Faculty

1. Recruitment/Hiring
 - Write requests for new full-time faculty positions.
 - Oversee search process for new full-time faculty, ensuring compliance with all University policies and procedures (e.g., Affirmative Action).
 - Make recommendations to the [Provost and] VCAA on the hiring of full-time faculty.
 - Request and appoint adjunct/part-time faculty through the program area AVCAA [Dean].
2. Regular operations
 - Assign courses to faculty each semester during schedule construction, ensuring representation of all faculty ranks across all facets of the curriculum.
 - Assign department discretionary reassigned time to department members [as allocated by Academic Affairs].
 - Assign advisees to faculty, ensuring an equitable distribution of advising loads.
3. Mentoring
 - Assist faculty with their professional development in the areas of teaching, scholarship and service.
 - Encourage faculty to utilize available professional development opportunities (e.g., Center for Teaching and Learning, Office of Sponsored Scholarship and Programs).
 - Encourage faculty participation in University and community life (e.g., co-curricular activities that enrich student learning experiences).
 - Ensure that all faculty are accessible to students during the week.
 - Ensure that faculty are informed about and refer students to available support services (e.g., Writing Center, Counseling Center, Disability Services).
4. Evaluation
 - Ensure that all faculty are evaluated by students and observed by peers ~~each year~~ in accordance with University policies.

- Evaluate full-time faculty annually (i.e., Faculty Record process) and as required for reappointment, tenure, promotion and post-tenure review.
- Provide feedback on performance to adjunct/part-time faculty at the end of each semester.
- ~~Reward~~ [Recognize] faculty for participation in institutional initiatives (e.g., ILS) and activities in accord with institutional priorities (e.g., co-curricular activities).

E. Students

1. Work with Admissions and the program area AVCAA [Dean] to develop and maintain an appropriate number of students in the department's major program(s).
2. Collaborate with department faculty to develop co-curricular activities that enrich student learning experiences.
3. Participate in the resolution of student problems with instructors and courses; refer students to the Faculty Conciliator when appropriate.
4. Work with department colleagues and the Office of Advising and Registration to evaluate coursework for students who transfer to UNC Asheville.

F. Staff

1. Determine (in conjunction with other Chairs, if necessary) work assignments of staff assigned to the department.
2. Supervise staff assigned to the department.
3. Sign all required forms for staff (e.g., time sheets, leave slips).
4. Evaluate staff annually as indicated by University policies and procedures.
5. Encourage, provide time for and ~~reward~~ [recognize] professional development of staff.

G. Budget

1. Allocate department operating budget each year to the appropriate budget categories.
2. Allocate faculty travel/development funds to department faculty.
3. Consult with the program area AVCAA [Dean] on special budgetary needs.
4. Develop special budget requests in concert with department and institutional priorities.
5. Authorize all expenditures from department funds (state and non-state).
6. Monitor expenditures to remain within approved budget.
7. Ensure adequate purchase of department supplies, equipment, etc.

Program Directors

Program Directors function similarly to department Chairs. However, because programs may not have their own faculty, staff or majors, some items in the preceding list may not apply. In all cases, Program Directors are required to provide teaching evaluations to the department Chairs of their instructors' home departments.

3.1.3 Campus Governance Participation (see [Section 10.1](#))

3.1.4 Instructional Activities

3.1.4.1 Teaching Responsibilities

3.1.4.1.1 Full-time

A full-time teaching assignment is the equivalent of 24 semester hours per academic year or its equivalent as established by the Department Chair/Program director and VCAA [Academic Affairs].

Faculty who teach in the MLA program are released from one undergraduate teaching assignment in order to teach a graduate class. If the faculty member is preparing to teach the class for the first time, he/she will be released from an additional class in order to properly prepare. There will be no release time for cross listed courses that have an enrollment primarily made up of undergraduates. The Director of the MLA program will coordinate with Department Chairs to ensure a burden is not placed on the Department.

3.1.4.1.2 [Compensation for] Overload Teaching:

[Compensation for overload] Overload teaching may be approved by the [Provost and] VCAA only by way of exception in order to meet an unanticipated need or to ensure an appropriate level of expertise which is not otherwise available in the local community. To be awarded compensation for overload teaching a faculty member must already be scheduled to teach 12 semester hours of courses. In the case of a department Chair or a program director, one must already be scheduled to teach 9 semester hours. [24 semester hours for the academic year, after accounting for administrative reassigned time.]

3.1.4.1.3 Independent and Special Topics Courses

When in the judgment of the Department Chair/Program Director there is a curricular or student need coupled with available faculty expertise, Special Topics Courses may be part of a program's set of scheduled courses. When scheduled, these courses are part of a faculty member['s] normal teaching load. Ordinarily, a special topics course which is offered for more than two consecutive years is to be considered for inclusion in the list of regular courses found in the catalog.

Faculty members are free to assume the responsibility of teaching an "Independent Course" to a student in order to meet an academic need of that student which cannot be met through the regular schedule of courses. The appropriate forms should be obtained from the Department Chair/Program Director. The student must register for the course in the usual manner during registration. [While independent study courses are not part of a faculty member's regular teaching load,] A faculty member who consistently offers independent study courses without sacrifice of quality teaching and other professional duties may expect recognition for these efforts by his/her chair.

3.1.4.1.4 Faculty Reassigned Time Policy ([SD2799S](#))

A full-time teaching assignment is 24 semester credit/contact hours per academic year or its equivalent as established by the Department Chair and the Provost and VCAA. Full-time faculty members may request Reassigned Time which reduces this twenty-four hour teaching responsibility for activities which benefit UNC Asheville's distinctive mission. Responsibilities for which Reassigned Time may be granted include:

- Course/curriculum development
- Professional development for enhanced teaching effectiveness
- Technology training for instruction
- Accreditation/program review
- Cocurricular activities
- Participation in UNC Asheville's distinctive-emphasis programs
- Heavy load - academic advising
- Compensation for prior overloads
- Academic administration/academic leadership assignments
- Scholarship/creative activity
- Externally-funded research
- Institutionally-supported research
- Off-campus scholarly assignment/on leave
- Institutional service

- Service to the public/community
- Service to the profession
- Other activity in support of UNC Asheville's mission

Availability of Reassigned Time

Each academic year, the Provost and VCAA will normally allot each academic program an amount of reassigned time equivalent to three credit-hours for each full-time faculty position occupied by a faculty on a continuing contract. The [Provost and] VCAA will provide this authorization to Chairs in a timely fashion, usually in October, so they may plan the next year's schedule.

Requesting and Allocating Reassigned Time

Individual faculty requests for reassigned time will be made to the Chair at the time the schedule is beginning to be planned. The assignment of faculty duties is a fundamental responsibility of Department Chairs. They know the courses and sections that must be offered, and the other duties that must be carried out. Chairs are responsible for a judicious, equitable allocation of reassigned time to individual members of their departments on behalf of UNC Asheville's mission. The [Provost and] VCAA, in consultation with the appropriate Chair, may sometimes allot additional reassigned time to members of the faculty for projects and activities which support the mission of the university but fall outside departmental purposes, such as accreditation reviews.

Chairs are also teacher-scholar members of the faculty, and may request reassigned time on an equal footing for purposes such as professional development for enhanced teaching effectiveness, scholarship/creative activity, and others as described above. These requests will be made to the [Provost and] VCAA prior to planning the schedule. The [Provost and] VCAA is responsible for a judicious, equitable allocation of reassigned time to Chairs and Program Directors on behalf of UNC Asheville's mission.

Accountability for Reassigned Time

Faculty members who receive reassigned time will record the assignment and will report on the results of the assignment on their Annual Faculty Records. The productive use of this reassigned time will be a factor considered by Chairs in the annual evaluation of faculty who have received it.

Chairs who receive reassigned time for purposes other than the administrative duties of their position will report on the results of the assignment in their Annual Faculty Records and to the [Provost and] VCAA, who will consider the productive use of this reassigned time in the annual evaluation of the Chair.

3.1.4.1.5 Reassigned Time for Department Chairs/Program Directors (Academic Affairs policy, distributed to Chairs/Directors on 12/7/98)

Academic Department Chairs are eligible for reassigned time based on the number of faculty they supervise. (SH = semester hours reassigned.)

- 16 or more = 12 SH
- 10-15 = 9 SH
- 5-9 = 6 SH
- 1-4 = 3 SH

Directors of the following academic and curricular support programs are eligible for reassigned time as listed.

Africana Studies	3 SH
Arts and Ideas	3 SH
Center for Teaching and Learning	6-9 SH
Honors	6 SH

Humanities	12 SH
Interdisciplinary Studies	3 SH
International Studies	3 SH
Key Center for Service Learning	3 SH
MLA	6 SH
Undergraduate Research	12 SH
Women's-, [Gender, and Sexuality] Studies	3 SH

Additional reassigned time may be awarded to Chairs or Directors according to the following criteria:

- Complexity of program
- Cocurricular demands
- Coordination required
- Number of students involved

3.1.4.1.6 Teaching Opportunities

UNC Asheville faculty are encouraged to ~~de~~ [engage in] team teaching, ~~teaching~~ [to teach] outside their own disciplines and ~~cross-listing of~~ [to cross-list] courses appropriate to more than one academic area. Faculty interested in pursuing ~~one or more of these areas~~ [these activities] should discuss their plan with their ~~d[D]epartment Chair/program director and the department Chair/program director of the other discipline.~~[other affected Department Chairs/Program Directors.] ~~The UNC Asheville Catalog has descriptions of programs offering teaching opportunities to faculty.~~

3.1.4.2 Office Hours

Faculty are expected to post and maintain regularly scheduled office hours for purposes of advising students and offering assistance to students enrolled in their classes. Office hours should be scheduled to allow access to instructors and advisors at times convenient to students. Course syllabi should also list regularly scheduled office hours.

3.1.4.3 Meeting Classes

3.1.4.3.1 Faculty meeting regularly scheduled classes

Faculty meeting regularly scheduled classes is to receive the highest priority in terms of faculty activity. Effective quality teaching is first priority for faculty at UNC Asheville. However, there are times when faculty must miss class, e.g., attendance at professional meetings, illness, and personal considerations such as weddings or funerals for members of immediate family. [For the policy on extended absence, see [Section 4.2.1.2](#) (Family and Medical Leave policy).]

[On their syllabi, f]Faculty are expected to include ~~on their syllabi,~~ information about course assignments in cases when they cannot meet their classes. In every instance Faculty are to notify their Department Chair and/or Program Director when they cannot meet their classes, and to indicate what assignments are to be followed during that absence.

3.1.4.3.2 Class Cancellations Due to Inclement Weather

~~UNC Asheville, as a state agency, is expected to provide its service to the public according to its announced calendar and schedules. Therefore,~~ [While] the presumption is that all classes will be taught during inclement weather[, on]. ~~On~~ occasion severe weather conditions may make it unusually dangerous or physically impossible for ~~teachers and learners~~ [faculty and students] to get to campus. The [Provost

and] VCAA is charged with making the judgment about canceling classes under these conditions.

Each individual student, in the event that classes are not cancelled, must assume the personal responsibility of deciding to go to class or not when local road conditions appear too hazardous. In such cases students should inform their instructors as soon as practicable.

Each faculty member should include in any class attendance policy a provision for the fair treatment of students who cannot reasonably be expected to attend class, especially in cases involving scheduled exams or due dates for papers.

~~The notice that classes are to be cancelled will be broadcast on all local radio stations normally by 6 a.m. The absence of any notice from UNC Asheville signifies that classes will be taught as usual. The Telephone Snow Line (see listing in directory) will also provide notice of cancellation, but is often "busy". Cancellation notice about evening classes will be provided to the radio stations as soon as practicable after 4 p.m. Such evening cancellation notices affect all classes on campus, graduate and undergraduate, and all continuing education classes on and off campus.~~

[The decision to delay, cancel, or hold classes is made by the Provost and VCAA on the recommendation of the Associate Vice Chancellor for Campus Operations following the evaluation of campus and local area road conditions and forecasts. When possible, the decision should be made by 5:30 a.m. to enable notification in time for all to plan for the day appropriately. Faculty may receive the latest information from local media, at the UNC Asheville website, or on the Adverse Weather and Emergency Line (828-259-3050).]

An Instructor may schedule additional class meetings with attendance required if weather conditions have forced cancellation of the equivalent of one full week of class meetings.

3.1.4.3.3 Snow/Late Start Schedule

As an alternative to the cancellation of classes, UNC Asheville may implement its snow/late start schedule. A listing of "late start" class periods is posted at: <http://www.unca.edu/enroll/late.html>
<http://registrar.unca.edu/late-start-schedule>

3.1.4.3.4 Students Attending Class

Students are responsible for regular class attendance. Faculty members are responsible for reporting to the student's advisor any student whose repeated absence from class is impairing the student's work. Any faculty member who has a particular attendance policy for his or her classes is to state that policy in the course syllabus.

By the official withdrawal date an instructor may administratively withdraw from a course any student who has not fulfilled the prerequisites for the class. Students who are administratively withdrawn will receive the grade W on their transcripts. ([SD0589F](#))

Religious Holidays: It should be noted that a student who misses a test or deadline due to a religious observance must be allowed to make up the test or other work if the student informs the instructor at least seven days prior to the event. Legal counsel of the University system advises that to ask for proof of religious affiliation or to refuse this may be a violation of the prohibition against religious discrimination. ([SD0510F](#))

Students who miss class to travel on university-sanctioned business are given excused absences for the class time missed. Occasional absences of this kind will have no punitive impact on their grades. It is the student's responsibility to inform the instructor of the travel plans at least one week prior to the sanctioned absence, and to make up all work missed within a specified time period, as agreed to by instructor and student. However, significant absences may affect academic performance and grades. ([SD2002S](#))

3.1.4.3.5 Sick Leave

~~Occasional absences from class due to illness are handled departmentally by the faculty member in consultation with the department chair/program director. For the policy on extended absence, see [Section 4.2.1.2](#) (Family and Medical Leave policy).~~

3.1.4.4 Approval of Candidates for Degrees

At the end of the fall semester, the spring semester, and the summer session, a faculty meeting is held at which the names of the candidates for graduation and honors are presented for faculty approval.

3.1.4.5 Advising

~~Each faculty member is expected to be an academic advisor, both to students majoring in that faculty member's discipline as well as to other UNC Asheville students. Some faculty instead are asked to participate in a special program for freshman advising.~~

~~The Office of Enrollment Services assigns advisees to departments based on student interest. The department chair is responsible for assigning advisees to faculty in that department. Faculty should meet with their advisees, assist them in planning their schedules, sign appropriate forms, and provide other academic assistance.~~

[Each faculty member is expected to be an academic advisor to students, including both declared majors and undeclared students. The Department Chair is responsible for assigning majors to faculty in that department. Faculty should meet with advisees at least once per semester to assist them in planning their schedules and to provide other academic assistance.]

3.1.4.6 OnePort (replaces Web for Faculty)

~~Information on students can be obtained through the UNC Asheville computer system. OnePort allows faculty to get information about advisees as well as class schedule information. OnePort is accessible at <http://oneport.unca.edu/>.~~

3.1.5 Patent and Copyright Procedures (see [Section 9.4](#))

3.1.6 Political Activities of University Employees (see [Section 13.2.5](#))

3.2 Other Employment Opportunities

3.2.1 Employment Opportunities in Special Programs

Centers such as Special Academic Programs and the North Carolina Center for Creative Retirement provide additional opportunities for faculty employment. Formal involvement in such programs during periods when the faculty member has instructional responsibility for his/her department, requires the department chair's approval. The conditions of employment, salary, responsibilities, and time requirements are specified by the Center or Program Director consistent with Center or Program policy.

3.2.2 Faculty Exchanges (see [Section 4.1.2](#))

3.2.3 Summer Programs Abroad

Certain study abroad programs also provide opportunities for faculty employment, usually during the summer term. Contact the [Provost and] VCAA or the Director of Study Abroad for more information.

3.2.4 Summer School Employment

There is no requirement that faculty teach during the summer. Faculty members who elect to teach during the summer session are subject to program needs, student enrollment and approval by their Chair/program director. Consequently, opportunities for faculty employment are limited and dependent on enrollment. Under-enrolled courses may be cancelled at the beginning of the term. Each department and/or program assesses student needs for a summer curriculum and offers courses suited to program requirements or service potential. As a general rule a faculty member may request to teach up to two courses during the summer. As noted above, actual employment depends on availability of courses in the schedule and actual enrollment in the courses. Salary is based on the number of semester hours taught and the rank of the faculty member. A salary schedule may be obtained from the Office of Academic Affairs. Salary checks are issued mid-July and mid-August and are subject to withholding for social security, state and federal income taxes, and retirement.

The summer school budget is distinct from the regular academic year instructional budget and is derived approximately one half from tuition and one half from state support.

[Opportunities to teach during the summer session are subject to program needs, student enrollment and Chair/program director approval. Each department and/or program assesses student needs for a summer curriculum and offers courses accordingly. As a general rule a faculty member may request to teach up to two courses during the summer. There is no requirement that faculty teach during the summer, nor can teaching during the summer substitute for teaching responsibilities of the regular academic year. A salary schedule for summer teaching may be obtained from the Office of Academic Affairs. Salary checks are issued mid-July and mid-August and are subject to withholding for social security, state and federal income taxes, and retirement.]

3.3 Evaluation of Faculty Members

3.3.1 Types of Evaluations

Formal faculty evaluation is conducted for:

1. annual performance review and awarding of salary increases for merit (see [Section 3.4](#)),
2. personnel decisions (i.e., reappointment, tenure and promotion, see [Section 3.5](#)), and
3. scheduled post-tenure review (approximately every 5 years after tenure becomes effective, see [Section 3.7](#)).

In all cases teaching effectiveness is the highest priority. Both peer review of teaching (see [Section 3.3.3.1.2](#)) and student evaluation of teaching (see [Section 3.3.3.1.1](#)) is required. Student evaluations are to be administered in a minimum of 50% of all courses taught by full-time teaching faculty each semester of the regular academic year. In the case of salary increases for merit, student evaluations must be submitted for all the classes which have been evaluated, with a minimum of 3 required for consideration.

3.3.2 Philosophy behind Evaluation of Faculty Members

3.3.2.1 Objectives of Evaluation

There are two basic objectives in all faculty evaluations and reviews at UNC Asheville:

- a. To assist in the professional development of the individual faculty member through assessment, feedback, and dialogue with reviewers.
- b. To contribute to professional, efficient, and appropriate personnel decisions.

General considerations that govern faculty personnel actions are delineated in the [Tenure Policies and Regulations](#), Section III, A, and J. (See [Section 14.2](#)). For description [policies related to specific issues] see:

Tenure, Notice, and Reappointment (III-B)
 Faculty Ranks (III-C)
 Initiation, Review, and Approval of Promotion and Reappointment decisions (III-D)
 Resignations (III-E)
 Leave of Absence During Probationary Terms (III-F)
 Terms and Conditions of Appointment (III-G)
 Continued Availability of Special Funding (III-H)
 Provisions for Less than Full-Time Employment (III-I)
 Nonreappointment of Faculty Members on Probationary Term Appointments (III-J)

All faculty are strongly advised and encouraged to become familiar with the Tenure Policies and Regulations of the institution.

3.3.2.2 Important Considerations in Personnel Evaluations

[Section 602(4) of] The Code of the Board of Governors states (see [Section 13.1.1](#)) that [in personnel decisions such as appointment, reappointment, tenure and promotion,] consideration should be given "to at least the following: the faculty members demonstrated professional competence, his or her potential for future contributions and institutional needs and resources". ~~The UNCA~~ [In addition, UNC Asheville Tenure Policy and Regulations (see [Section 14.2](#)) indicates that reappointment "may be based on any factor considered relevant to the total institutional interests".^[1] ~~In the interests of better specifying the areas to be evaluated by the Chair and of assisting in faculty development, it would be helpful if attention were given to the items described below.~~ Possession of the appropriate degree and demonstrated excellence in teaching are assumed to be prerequisite to any positive recommendation. Additionally, the candidacy should be supported by evidence of vigorous and effective activity in the other categories below.

- a. The candidate possesses the degrees and experience appropriate to the position.

The terminal degree, the Ph.D. except in certain professionally acknowledged areas, is required for employment or promotion to the professional ranks and is necessary for tenured positions. Exceptions to this may be made only in extraordinary circumstances. Demonstrated progress toward the attainment of the appropriate degree is expected of non-tenured candidates who were initially hired with a Master's degree. The areas of specialization must be consonant with departmental needs.

- b. The candidate has demonstrated excellence in teaching.

Consideration shall be given to such matters as knowledge of subject matter, effectiveness of course design, clarity of thought and expression, maintenance of fair and appropriate standards, ability to arouse and maintain interest, rapport with students, availability to students, and ability to direct research and non-traditional learning activities.

- c. The candidate is active as a scholar and professional person.

Consideration shall be given to such matters as currency of knowledge in the field, intellectual breadth, scholarly research and publication, creative accomplishments if relevant to the field, activity within professional organizations, and any evidence of recognition of accomplishment by the profession. Evidence suggesting future growth or continued accomplishment in these areas is considered significant.

- d. The candidate contributes effectively to the development of the academic programs of the department and the institution.

Consideration shall be given to such matters as flexibility and range of competence in relation to the present and foreseen needs of the department, involvement in program design and evaluation, contribution to administrative functions within the department, development of student activities, academic advising, and contributions to academic programs outside the department. Effective working relationships with colleagues and university staff is considered an important contribution to the department and the institution.

The candidate is active and effective in extra-curricular service to the institution.

Consideration will be given to the amount and quality of work done in committees, in administrative tasks, in developing the University's off-campus relationships, in procuring grants, and such matters.

- e. The candidate is active in community affairs, especially those relevant to his or her professional competence or to the well-being of the University.

Consideration will be given to such activities as presentations to public or organizational audiences, involvement with the local schools, and media appearances in a professional capacity. Community activities unrelated to professional standing are of course encouraged but receive less weight in the evaluation process.

- f. Institutional and Departmental Need

Basic to all personnel decisions, indicated by such factors as financial resources, program requirements, changing patterns of student demand for courses and faculty resources.

- g. Special Departmental Criteria

Departments may develop specific criteria peculiar to a discipline which, upon written approval of the Provost and VCAA, will be considered in evaluating faculty members.

3.3.3 Criteria in Evaluations

More specific considerations in faculty evaluations are indicated below. These are stated in a broadly-defined sequence of priority, with recognition that the "mix" for any individual may vary. These criteria apply to all faculty evaluations: annual departmental-level, personnel (i.e., reappointment, tenure and promotion) and post-tenure review.

3.3.3.1 Teaching

The faculty member is expected to show clear, positive evidence of effective teaching. This is the primary and constant consideration in all personnel decisions.

3.3.3.1.1 Student Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness ([SD0308F](#)) ([SD1482F](#)) ([SD0308F](#)) ([SD8209S](#)) ([SD3010S](#))

Student evaluations are to be administered in a minimum of 50% of all courses taught by full-time teaching faculty each semester of the regular academic year. Such courses include only UNC Asheville classes taught on campus where the bulk of the work is done in a classroom or laboratory setting and not independent courses and small research seminars. No class with fewer than six students enrolled at the time of evaluation should be used as one of the classes to satisfy the 50% requirement, though faculty [members] are encouraged to evaluate all classes. Part-time faculty must have evaluations administered in all courses they teach. The evaluation instruments are to be administered electronically, facilitated by the Director of Institutional Research and the Assistant Provost for Academic Administration. Emails with a link to the electronic Student Rating of Instruction (SRI) instrument will be sent to students during the last week of class to insure that students have the maximum amount of information and experience upon which to base their judgments.

Departments/programs may in addition develop their own instruments for the evaluation of teaching and/or add items to the SRI instrument.

While the evaluation results become part of the data reviewed for personnel decisions, the main purpose of the student evaluation is the improvement of teaching. Therefore, the faculty member being evaluated is to receive a copy of the evaluation results.

3.3.3.1.2 Peer Review of Teaching

Peer review of teaching is mandated by General Administration. The following are the minimum activities adopted in 1994 by the Department Chairs/Program Directors. (Post-tenure review was added to listed items 1 and 6 in February, 2000.)

1. Each department/program with full-time faculty will conduct in-class observation of classroom teaching for new and non-tenured faculty, for candidates for promotion and for candidates for post-tenure review.
2. Observations will be carried out by a minimum of two tenured faculty selected from within the department or program of appointment or from outside the department/program with prior approval of the [Provost and] VCAA.
3. Departments are responsible for implementing procedures for direct observation of classroom teaching for faculty whose teaching outside the department constitutes a regular and substantial part of their responsibilities (e.g. humanities, arts, cross-listed courses). Development of these procedures should involve consultation with the program director or department chair of the outside department/program.
4. The direct observation of classroom teaching shall include a minimum of one course taught by the person being evaluated.
5. Each observer shall visit the determined course at least once.
6. The above procedures are for use in formulating department/program recommendations for reappointment, tenure, promotion and post-tenure review which are to be submitted to the [Provost and] VCAA.

These items represent the minimum number of activities which each department/program will implement. Departments/programs may chose to expand these minimal activities.

3.3.3.2 Scholarship and Creative Activity

The faculty member should demonstrate continued efforts toward professional development. This can

take the form of contributions to one's academic field through scholarship, artistic activity or an active role in professional organizations. At minimum it requires demonstrated effort at professional self-development through teaching improvement and keeping abreast of the state of the art in one's field.

3.3.3.3 Service

The faculty member should be an active contributor to the university community. Service needs and opportunities will vary with program requirements, allocations of financial and personnel resources, changing patterns of student demand, etc. Therefore, the priority of this consideration varies from program to program. Three types of faculty service are considered:

1. To the department: Contributions to program and curricular development and evaluation, availability and effectiveness in student advising, contributions to administrative functions within the department and in the rest of the university, effective service on committees, etc., are considered here. Effective working relationships with colleagues and staff are important elements in this same category.

2. To the university: Initiative and demonstrated readiness to serve the academic community is especially important in a small institution. Includes service in both elected and appointed functions.

3. To the community: [Service to] ~~To~~ the community, especially ~~those~~ [that which is] relevant to one's professional competence, flows from the nature of a publicly-supported university. Consideration is given to presentations to various community groups, involvement with ~~the~~ local schools, memberships on boards, media presentations and a wide range of activities comprising the university's contribution to ~~building up~~ the community.

3.3.4 Evaluation of Department Chairs

- a. During the final semester of the Chair's appointment to the Chair position, or whenever the [Provost and] VCAA deems it necessary, he or she will initiate the evaluation process by soliciting evaluations from the departmental faculty.
- b. Each faculty member of the department (excluding the Chair) will complete a "Faculty Evaluation of Chair" form and return the signed form to the [Provost and] VCAA. Each Faculty member will complete the form without consulting anyone else. The completed forms are confidential and will not be shown to the Chair.
- c. Upon receipt of all forms the [Provost and] VCAA will review and analyze the information conveyed, taking note of salient strengths, weaknesses, or problems of the department or the Chair, and also taking note of difference of opinion among the respondents. The [Provost and] VCAA will also review past departmental reports for the time period covered by the evaluation (with updates if desired) to get additional information on the accomplishments of the Chair.
- d. At his or her discretion the [Provost and] VCAA may consult other administrators, staff, or faculty with whom the Chair interacts.
- e. After reviewing all the above responses the [Provost and] VCAA may feel the need for further clarification and information. In such a case the [Provost and] VCAA will meet with each member individually, or with all members collectively if he or she feels it would be more helpful.
- f. He or she will then prepare for the Chair a written summary of his/her perceptions of the strengths and weaknesses of the Chair's performance and of any problems which need to be addressed. This summary will be discussed with the Chair who may, if he or she wishes, reply in writing.
- g. The summary and reply, if any, will be kept on file. The department members' evaluation forms will be destroyed.
- h. The [Provost and] VCAA will then notify the Chair of his or her decision to reappoint or not to reappoint.
- i. In instances in which the Chair is reappointed, but where sufficient serious problems appear, evaluations should be conducted each year until the problem has been resolved.

3.3.5 Evaluation of Program Directors

Program Directors typically are appointed for three year terms and are evaluated in much the same way as Department Chairs. Faculty teaching in the program and other persons knowledgeable about the program (e.g., members of advisory committees) are asked to complete evaluations and submit them to the [Provost and] VCAA. Subsequently steps c-i for Evaluation of Departmental Chairs are followed (see [Section 3.3.4](#)).

3.4 Annual Evaluation of Faculty

3.4.1 Purpose (SD3404S)

All full-time faculty members participate in an annual evaluation that has both formative and summative functions. Department chairs provide feedback on each faculty member's accomplishments, rate each individual on teaching, scholarship/creative activity and service and make recommendations regarding salary increases (i.e., merit raises, salary equity adjustments). Annual evaluations are reviewed by the [Provost and] VCAA who makes the final determination regarding raises. These annual evaluations become part of each faculty member's personnel file and are considered in all personnel and performance (i.e., post-tenure) reviews.

3.4.2 Procedure

For the purpose of this section, the words "Department Chair" and "Chair" refer to any faculty member who directly evaluates faculty for merit, reappointment, tenure or promotion.

1 a. By June 1st, each continuing faculty member submits a completed Faculty Record and supporting materials to his/her Department Chair covering activities from the previous fall and spring semesters.

b. Department Chairs will submit their Faculty Record and supporting materials to their ~~AVCAA~~ [Program Area Dean].

2. a. The Department Chair reviews the Record along with student evaluation rating data, summaries of student comments, peer reviews of the faculty member's teaching and whatever other materials the faculty member chooses to submit. Note: Faculty teaching outside the department (e.g. in Humanities) should request that student/peer evaluation information be forwarded to the Department Chair.

b. For the review of the Department Chair, the ~~AVCAA~~ [Dean] reviews the materials. The ~~AVCAA~~ [Dean] reviews the Record along with student evaluation rating data, summaries of student comments, peer reviews of the chair's member's teaching and whatever other materials the chair chooses to submit. Note: Chairs teaching outside the department (e.g. in Humanities) should request that student/peer evaluation information be forwarded to the ~~AVCAA~~ [Dean].

3 a. After reviewing the materials for the faculty member, the Chair completes an evaluation form including a narrative discussion of the faculty member's activities and rating scales on teaching, scholarship/creative activity and service. The Chair also makes a recommendation regarding a salary increase including a rationale when required (e.g. high level of merit).

b. After reviewing the materials for the Department Chair, the ~~AVCAA~~ [Dean] completes an evaluation form including a narrative discussion of the Chair's activities and rating scales on teaching, scholarship/creative activity and service. The ~~AVCAA~~ [Dean] also makes a recommendation regarding a salary increase including a rationale when required (e.g. high level of merit).

4 a. The faculty member reviews the Chair's evaluation/recommendation and signs a statement to this effect (which does not imply endorsement of the Chair's evaluation). The faculty member has the right to discuss the evaluation with the Chair prior to signing it for submission to the Office of Academic Affairs.

b. The Department Chair reviews the AVCAA [Dean]'s evaluation/recommendation and signs a statement to this effect (which does not imply endorsement of the AVCAA[Dean]'s evaluation). The Chair has the right to discuss the evaluation with the AVCAA [Dean] prior to signing it for submission to the [Provost and] VCAA.

5 a. The Faculty Record and Chair's Evaluation of faculty members are submitted to the Office of the ~~Associate Vice Chancellors of Academic Affairs~~ [Deans]. After reviewing the documents and any other pertinent information, the AVCAA [Dean] of the faculty member's program area recommends both the award and the amount of the award to the [Provost and] VCAA, who also serves as the faculty member's final appeal in the review process. The entire process is to be completed no later than the last day of the spring term, including any appeals.

6. [5 b.] The Faculty Record and AVCAA[Dean]'s evaluation of Department Chairs are submitted to the [Provost and] VCAA. After reviewing the documents and any other pertinent information, the [Provost and] VCAA decides on the merit category. The entire process is to be completed no later than the last day of the spring term, including any appeals.

3.5 Policies and Procedures Governing Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion

The following sections apply specifically to institutional reviews of faculty for the purposes of awarding reappointment, tenure or promotion.

3.5.1 Institutional Policies on Personnel Decisions

It is important for faculty to become familiar with the information in the following two documents regarding personnel decisions:

- [The Code of the UNC Board of Governors](#)
- The UNCA [UNC Asheville] Tenure Policies and Regulations (see [Section 14.2](#)).

Key information from these documents is summarized in a section of this Handbook titled "Important considerations in personnel decisions" (see [Section 3.3.2.2](#)).

3.5.2 Policy on Granting of Rank and Tenure to Administrators (Board of Trustees adopted 1/18/79)

An administrator is defined as anyone whose administrative functions amount to more than fifty percent of his or her assignment load, as long as he or she is on a yearly contract of at least nine-months. Because of the special nature of their positions, librarians are exempt from the provisions of this policy.

1. Tenured faculty members of the institution retain their rank and tenure when receiving an administrative appointment. They are eligible for promotion in academic rank while serving in an administrative position. The same standards for promotion apply to all candidates.
2. When the Chancellor, the Provost and VCAA or ~~an Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs~~ [a Dean] is hired from outside the institution, he or she may be reviewed for a faculty position, rank, and tenure during the hiring process. With the exception of the Chancellor and the [Provost and] VCAA, such individuals must receive the approval and recommendation of the appropriate department before rank is granted. Unless tenure has been granted, conferral of faculty rank does not, however, guarantee the right to assume a faculty position in the department upon conclusion of the administrative appointment.

3.5.3 Guidelines for Awarding of Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion for Faculty

(amended by [SD1092S](#)) ([SD3701S](#)) ([SD0102F](#)) ([SD0202F](#)) ([SD1003F](#)))

The candidate for reappointment, tenure or promotion is to present his or her chair with a well

documented report of accomplishments in the categories described in Section ~~3.5.4.4~~. [3.5.4.3.] The Department Chair will review and evaluate each faculty member's level of accomplishment accordingly, after appropriate consultation with the department, and shall make recommendation relative to reappointment, tenure, or promotion. Such recommendations are carefully reviewed by the Committee of the Tenured Faculty and by the [Provost and] VCAA. The following sections present guidelines for that review process in each evaluation category.

Lecturer: Lecturer contracts shall specify departmental expectations regarding teaching load, scholarship/creative/professional activity and service responsibilities. The process for appointing Lecturers shall be as follows:

At the start of a new contract, a Lecturer will write a Professional Development Plan (PDP). This will be done in consultation with the department Chair. Upon approval by the Chair, the PDP is sent to the appropriate AVC [Dean].

At review time, the Lecturer prepares a document that responds to the PDP. This document is reviewed by the department Chair. It is then sent, with Chair's comments, to the AVC[Dean]s who make recommendations, to the [Provost and] VCAA, on whether the Lecturer should be ~~reappointed~~ [issued a new contract].

Instructor. The rank of Instructor is viewed as both temporary and transitional. It is temporary in that it cannot be extended beyond two years. (However, the candidate can be offered a terminal one-year appointment.) It is transitional in that the faculty member is expected to acquire the terminal degree necessary for appointment at the Assistant Professor rank in a tenure-track position. As in all UNC Asheville positions, effective teaching is expected of instructors.

Assistant Professor. Assistant Professors are appointed initially to a probationary term of four years, with a review of the faculty member in the third year. An unsuccessful review allows for the fourth year to serve as a grace year. After a successful review, a second probationary contract may be offered for a final four-year term, beginning in the academic year directly following the review. During the sixth year of the full probationary period, a promotion-with-tenure decision is made.

To become reappointed to a second probationary period as an Assistant Professor an individual must have a record of effective teaching, some scholarly/creative activity with the potential for recognized accomplishment in that area, and effective service. A high level of effective service would be considered an asset but is not an expectation of all candidates at this level.

It is expected that an individual seeking promotion to Associate Professor with tenure will have a strong record of effective teaching, recognized accomplishment in scholarly/creative activity, and some level of service both within and outside the department (i.e., to the University or community). In cases where unusual amounts of service are expected from an untenured faculty member, the University will take this into account in making decisions about promotion to Associate Professor.

Associate Professor. Tenure decisions are also made for individuals hired at the rank of Associate Professor. To be eligible for tenure at the rank of Associate Professor a candidate must have a record of excellent teaching, must demonstrate a pattern of professional and scholarly development commensurate with departmental standards, and give evidence of commitment to the University through service both within and outside the department.

Promotion from Associate to Professor requires evidence of continued excellence in teaching and further growth and maturity in scholarly/creative activity. A more significant level of service to the department and to the University or community is expected. While the evaluation will focus on accomplishments since promotion to Associate Professor, the candidate's whole career will be taken into consideration. Because promotion is based largely on accumulated accomplishment while in rank at UNC Asheville, time spent at the rank of Associate Professor will vary according to rate of achievement.

Professor. Tenure decisions are also made for individuals hired at the rank of Professor. An individual seeking tenure as a Professor must have a strong record of excellent teaching, significant accomplishment in scholarly/creative activity, and evidence of commitment to the University through service both within and outside the department.

Extensions to probationary periods. Because reappointment and tenure decisions are governed by timetables, modifications to these timetables must be documented in writing. Section III-F of the UNCA [UNC Asheville] Tenure Policies and Regulations notes that a probationary faculty member who receives a one-year leave of absence also receives an automatic timetable extension of one year. A probationary faculty member who receives a one-semester leave of absence normally does not stop the tenure clock; however, the faculty member may request a one-year timetable extension from the [Provost and] VCAA. Written VCAA acknowledgement or authorization of the extension must be provided to the faculty member, [and] to his or her department chair, and [is] retained in the faculty member's personnel file. See Section 4.2 for descriptions of faculty leaves. A leave of absence due to maternity or primary-care duties (as prescribed by the Family Leave and Medical Act) automatically extends the probationary period by one year unless requested by the faculty member and authorized by the [Provost and] VCAA.

3.5.4 Procedure for Evaluating Faculty Members for Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion (SD3701S)

3.5.4.1 Summary of the Evaluation Procedure

1. ~~With the exception of lecturers, the~~ [This] evaluation procedure is applied to all faculty members who are on at least a yearly nine-month contract and who are eligible for reappointment, tenure or promotion. The term "candidate" in subsequent items refers to the faculty member undergoing evaluation. The term "Chair" refers to the Department Chair or Program Director responsible for evaluating the candidate.
2. For faculty whose contracts begin in the fall, the evaluation process begins in Fall of the year of review. For faculty whose contracts begin in the spring the tenure clock begins the following academic year.
 - A. In the case of a contractually required review (i.e., a reappointment or tenure review), the [Provost and] VCAA begins the process by sending a notice of review to each candidate with a copy to the faculty's member's chair. The notice of review specifies the documents to be prepared and the timetable for the review process.
 - B. In the case of a requested review (i.e., a promotion or early tenure review), the candidate initiates the process, notifying his/her Department Chair of the request for review. Faculty members are encouraged to meet with their Chairs to discuss the request and to consider the likelihood of Chair and Department support before pursuing the request. If the faculty member decides to pursue the request, he/she notifies the [Provost and] VCAA who then sends the candidate a notice of review as described above. Faculty members considering early tenure reviews or promotion reviews prior to the awarding of tenure should note ~~the following information from Section 14.2. A~~ [that while a] faculty member may request a review for tenure before the contractually specified time. ~~A~~ [, a] negative tenure decision in this case is equivalent to a decision to not reappoint the faculty member after completion of the current probationary term. Because a promotion implies tenure, a request for a review for promotion from a non-tenured faculty member has the same consequences. [See Section 14.2, Section III.B.4.]
3. Each faculty member under review prepares an evaluation file including a Candidate's Statement, a Fall semester Faculty Record and an up-to-date curriculum vitae of his/her professional career. (Information about the format and contents of the Candidate's Statement is available in Section 3.5.4.3.) If the candidate desires, letters of recommendation from students and/or colleagues and samples of professional work may be collected for submission at this time. All materials must be submitted to the Chair by the deadline specified in the notice of review.

4. The Chair adds copies of the candidate's annual Faculty Records and Merit Evaluations, student evaluation rating summaries and comments, and peer reviews of the faculty member's teaching to this file. These additional materials should cover the full period of time between the faculty member's last review and the current review. The Chair makes these materials available to the tenured members of the Department for their review.

5. In certain circumstances, this process is modified as described below to ensure a fair and comprehensive evaluation.

A. When the faculty member under review is a Chair or Program Director

When the faculty member under review serves as a department chair or program director, the [Provost and] VCAA, or designated Associate VCAA [program area Dean], in consultation with the faculty member being evaluated, will assign a tenured faculty member to serve in the role of Chair. The designated Chair will have a tenured faculty appointment outside the department (or program) and within the division (or divisions).

B. When the Department has fewer than three tenured faculty members

If the department has fewer than three tenured faculty members, the Chair will consult with faculty members outside the department chosen in consultation with the candidate and approved by the [Provost and] VCAA. No fewer than three tenured faculty members shall participate fully in the departmental review process. The following are faculty appropriate to consider for inclusion:

- 1) Tenured faculty members in Departments where the faculty member has taught courses.
- 2) Chairs or Program Directors in Departments where the faculty member has taught courses.
- 3) Tenured faculty members in other Departments who are familiar with the faculty member's work.

C. When the faculty member has taught courses outside the Department

When the faculty member has taught courses outside the Department, the Chair should request written evaluations of the faculty member's performance from the Chairs/Directors of those Departments/Programs. The Chair in his/her statement regarding the candidate's performance should address these evaluations.

D. When the faculty member has reassigned time for administrative duties

When the faculty member has reassigned time for administrative duties, the Chair will request a written evaluation of the faculty member's performance from the person who supervises these administrative activities. The Chair in his/her statement regarding the candidate's performance will address this evaluation.

E. When the Chair is untenured ([SD6205S](#))

If the Chair of the faculty member is untenured, the Provost (or designated AVG [Dean]) in consultation with the faculty member and his or her Chair will assign a tenured faculty member to serve in the role of Chair. The designated Chair should be a tenured faculty member appointed in the same division of the University or from a related department/program. The untenured Chair will be provided the opportunity to submit a letter of evaluation for the candidate's review dossier, and the candidate will have opportunity to respond to this letter.

6. After all tenured Department members have reviewed these materials, the Chair assembles these faculty for a vote on the candidate's reappointment, tenure or promotion request.

7. After consulting with the tenured Department members, and reviewing all materials in the evaluation file, the Chair writes an evaluation of the faculty member under review, including the vote of the assembled tenured Department members, and the date of that meeting, as well as the Chair's own recommendation regarding the faculty member. (Information about the format and contents of the Chair's

Statement is available in [Section 3.5.4.4.](#))

8. The Chair must submit his/her statement to the faculty member at least 5 days prior to its submission to the Office of Academic Affairs and, if the faculty member so desires, meet with him/her to discuss the recommendation.

A. In all cases, the faculty member may write a supplementary statement including explanatory or clarifying information after reviewing the Chair's Statement. This supplementary statement should be sent to the Chair and included in the candidate's evaluation file.

B. In cases where the Chair makes a negative recommendation, the ~~UNCA~~ [UNC Asheville] Tenure Policies and Regulations (Section 14.2, III-D-1-b) delineate a specific procedure to be followed:

1) In addition to submitting the Chair's Statement to the candidate, the Chair simultaneously communicates the intention to issue a negative recommendation to the [Provost and] VCAA and the faculty member in a separate simple, unelaborated written statement.

2) Within 5 days of receipt of that notice, the faculty member may request a conference with the Chair and the opportunity to provide additional written evidence or views bearing on the faculty member's demonstrated professional competence and potential for future contributions. This statement of rebuttal is included in the faculty member's evaluation file.

3) If the faculty member does not request a conference or provide additional written materials bearing on the case during this 5 day interval, the Chair's evaluation and recommendation are added to the candidate's evaluation file.

9. The candidate and Chair submit copies of only the following materials to the Office of Academic Affairs by the deadline specified in the notice of review: the Candidate's Statement, the Curriculum Vitae, the Fall semester Faculty Record, the student comments, the Chair's Evaluation and, if written, the candidate's statement of clarification or rebuttal.

10. The materials listed in # 9, along with the faculty member's annual Faculty Records and Merit Evaluations (provided by the Office of Academic Affairs) and student evaluation rating summaries (provided by the Office of Institutional Research) are made available to the Committee of Tenured Faculty. During the entire process from Tenure Committee to the review of the Chancellor, confidentiality is of paramount importance. Only individuals authorized to be involved in the review may have knowledge of the content of the review or share the vote tally. Members of the Committee are asked not to discuss any review material apart from the whole committee and to focus their comments and arrive at their conclusions based on the contents of the candidate's dossier. The Committee of Tenured Faculty, upon completion of the reviews, meets with the [Provost and] VCAA to transmit, with comment, its vote on their recommendation regarding the faculty member's reappointment, tenure or promotion. The [Provost and] VCAA may involve the ~~Associate Vice Chancellor(s) of Academic Affairs~~ Deans in a consultative role so as to help assure equity of standards. The vote, including its tally, along with the comment material will be held in confidence thereafter by all members of the Committee and the [Provost and] VCAA (and any involved ~~AVCAA~~ [Dean]s). After the [Provost and] VCAA shares the vote tally and comment material from the Committee with the Chancellor, the Chancellor will also hold this information in confidence, and will refer to the [Provost and] VCAA without comment or context all queries regarding a review. The Chancellor's communication roles are restricted to forwarding a favorable decision to the Board of Trustees and notifying the faculty member of an unfavorable decision. Under no conditions will the vote tally of the Committee be shared beyond the circle of reviewers. The [Provost and] VCAA will meet with the Committee after he or she knows whether the Chancellor will recommend the conferral of tenure and/or promotion so as to apprise the Committee members on the outcome of the process. The Committee members will hold this information in strict confidence.

11. After reviewing all materials, including the Chair's statement and the recorded vote of the assembled tenured Department members, and the vote of the Committee of Tenured Faculty, the [Provost and] VCAA makes a decision regarding the faculty member's reappointment, tenure or promotion.

12. The ~~UNCA~~ [UNC Asheville] Tenure Policies and Regulations specify the subsequent actions required by the [Provost and] VCAA, the Chancellor, and the Board of Trustees. In the case of a favorable [Provost and] VCAA recommendation, the decision is communicated to the faculty member and the Chancellor, and the process continues. In the case of an unfavorable [Provost and] VCAA recommendation, the faculty member has the right to conferences with the [Provost and] VCAA and the Committee of Tenured Faculty, and the right to seek review of the [Provost and] VCAA decision before the Faculty Hearings Committee. Candidates should consult the ~~UNCA~~ [UNC Asheville] Tenure Policies and Regulations ([Section 14.2](#)) for a more detailed description of all aspects of the process.

3.5.4.2 Documents for Evaluation by the Office of Academic Affairs

An evaluation folder must be established in the Office of Academic Affairs for each candidate under review for reappointment, tenure or promotion. Documents in this folder are reviewed by the Committee of Tenured Faculty and the [Provost and] VCAA. The Academic Affairs folder contains only the following documents:

1. The Candidate's Statement (submitted by the candidate)
2. The Professional Vitae (submitted by the candidate)
3. The Fall semester Faculty Record (submitted by the candidate)
4. The Candidate's Statement of Clarification, Explanation or Rebuttal, if written (submitted by the candidate)
5. The Chair/Director's Evaluation and Recommendation (submitted by the Chair/Director)
6. Comments from Student Evaluation Forms [administered since the last review] (submitted by the Chair/Director)
7. Student Evaluation Rating Form Summaries [administered since the last review] (provided by the Office of Institutional Research)
8. All appropriate annual Faculty Records (collected by [Provost and] VCAA)
9. All appropriate annual Merit Evaluations (collected by [Provost and] VCAA)

The tenure committee or the [Provost and] VCAA may request samples of teaching and scholarly/creative materials.

3.5.4.3 Guidelines for Preparation of Documents by Candidates

Candidates are required to prepare three documents: the Candidate's Statement, the Professional Vitae and the Fall Semester Faculty Record. These documents first are submitted for review by the Chair and Department and then are forwarded to the Office of Academic Affairs where they are reviewed by the Committee of Tenured Faculty and the [Provost and] VCAA. Unless requested, candidates should not forward other supporting materials such as syllabi, course outlines, sample exams, and samples of scholarly or artistic work, testimonials, or letters from other Chairs/Directors or colleagues.

1. The Candidate's Statement

A. Purpose: The Candidate's Statement should be viewed as a cover letter to the Provost and VCAA. The statement provides an opportunity for the candidate to integrate, expand, explain, and draw attention to information in the Annual Faculty Records. In addition, the statement can be used to discuss factors affecting the candidate's performance, factors not ordinarily covered in the listing of activities by categories.

B. Format: The Candidate's Statement should be written in narrative form. The specific orientation or focus of the statement is the candidate's choice. Statements typically are 4-6 pages in length.

C. Issues to Address: The Candidate's Statement should address performance in the areas of

teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service. What follows are some possible issues to address in the various categories of evaluation. The lists in no way imply that lengthy statements are expected. They are suggestive but not prescriptive.

1) Teaching: As an undergraduate, liberal arts-oriented institution, UNC Asheville values outstanding teaching above all other faculty accomplishments. Teaching is the art of helping students to learn. Consequently, it extends beyond the classroom to include individual teacher-student interaction, availability to students, and readiness to assist them. The following are suggested issues to address concerning teaching:

- * philosophy of teaching
- * methods employed (examples can be given)
- * significant curricular or pedagogical contributions
- * interdisciplinary teaching activities
- * involvement of students in special academic projects
- * mentorship of undergraduate research projects
- * grants for pedagogical innovation
- * awards for teaching given in open competitions
- * future plans
- * factors to consider regarding performance in these areas (e.g., required v. elective courses, lower v. upper division courses, teaching within discipline v. teaching outside discipline, major v. service course, advising freshmen v. majors, etc.)

2) Scholarly and Creative Activity: Members of the faculty are expected to engage in scholarly or creative activity. These activities should be evaluated by their contribution to an academic area. Scholarly and creative activity that involves students and/or interdisciplinary work is noteworthy. In an undergraduate, liberal arts-oriented institution, research and scholarship can be especially valuable as they enhance teaching. The following are suggested issues to address concerning scholarly and creative activity:

- * participation in ongoing research or creative activity, including production or performance of art, music, literature, or drama
- * submission of grant proposals and grant-funded activities
- * publications in journals (indicate if refereed), review articles, and scholarly books
- * other writings such as textbooks, book reviews, or software; or non-print instructional materials
- * attendance and participation in professional meetings, presentation of oral/poster papers, chairing of paper sessions, participation in symposia
- * awards for scholarly or creative work
- * editorships and peer reviews
- * professional development activities
- * work completed (but not yet published or presented) or in progress
- * future plans
- * factors to consider regarding performance in these areas (e.g., competitiveness/stature of journals/conferences in one's discipline, changes in one's line of research, obligations in other areas, etc.)

3) Service: Members of the faculty are expected to participate actively in university and community life. Advising is a service activity that is considered an essential part of the teaching-learning process. Other outstanding service activities that involve the faculty member's professional competence will be most relevant to the evaluation. The following are suggested issues to address concerning service:

- * administration of programs and tasks that contribute to the cultural, educational, and social welfare of the university and community
- * election or appointment to committees, task forces, commissions, boards, or public offices
- * advising activities
- * development of resources
- * professional activity as judged by election or appointment to boards, offices in societies, and committees
- * awards and prizes given in recognition of service
- * positions of leadership
- * public lectures, workshops, and consultations

* benefits to student-faculty relations, to one's department, to the University, and to the local, regional, national, or international community

* factors to consider regarding performance in these areas (e.g., opportunities for service, obligations in other areas)

2. The Professional Vitae

A. **Purpose:** The complete vita puts the candidate's professional work at UNC Asheville into perspective relative to the individual's career in general. This permits the committee to assess the individual's activities at UNC Asheville in light of his/her previous level of activity. This is particularly useful for candidates new to UNC Asheville.

B. **Format:** The vita should be written in the format appropriate to applying for an academic position. It should include information about the candidate's education, degrees, awards and honors, professional employment, as well as the candidate's most important papers, publications, artistic activities; grant activities, professional consultancies, or service activities.

3. The Fall Semester Faculty Record

A. **Purpose:** The Fall semester Faculty Record summarizes the candidate's current and ongoing activities.

B. **Format:** The Fall semester Faculty Record should be written in the same format used for the year-end Faculty Record.

3.5.4.4 Guidelines for Preparation of Documents by Chairs/Directors

Chairs are responsible for writing an evaluation of the candidate's performance, including a specific recommendation regarding reappointment, tenure or promotion, and for assembling all comments from annual student evaluation forms administered since the candidate's last review. These documents are forwarded to the Office of Academic Affairs where they are reviewed by the Committee of Tenured Faculty and the [Provost and] VCAA. Chairs should not forward other supporting materials such as syllabi, course outlines, sample exams, and samples of scholarly or artistic work, testimonials, or letters from other Chairs/Directors or colleagues.

1. Chair's Evaluation

A. **Purpose:** The Chair's Evaluation has always been central to decisions concerning reappointment, tenure, and promotion. It is a summary evaluation which, when viewed together with the evaluations appended to the Annual Faculty Record, provides an historical account of the candidate's progress in the eyes of his or her Chair.

B. **Format:** The Chair's Evaluation should be written in simple narrative form, addressing all issues listed in the guidelines for evaluation that are relevant for the candidate in question. (For example, comments on supervision of student projects may not be relevant for all candidates.)

C. **Issues to Address:** The Chair's Evaluation should address performance in the areas of teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service.

1) **Required issues:** The Chair is required to address the following points in the Chair's Evaluation. Evaluations failing to cover these points will be returned for revision.

* The statement must report the results of the vote taken at the meeting of the tenured faculty in the department and the date of that meeting.

~~* If the candidate is a Lecturer or holds any other special faculty appointment, the Chair should make clear why such an appointment is appropriate and the specific expectations of this individual as previously~~

~~established in consultation with the Provost and VCAA. Chairs should review the description of Lecturer and other Specialized Faculty Appointments in the UNCA [UNC Asheville] Tenure Policies and Regulations (Section 14.2).~~

* If the candidate has reassigned time from teaching, the Chair should make clear the amount of reassigned time awarded and the specific departmental expectations in view of this reassigned time.

* The statement should provide a brief description of the department peer evaluation of teaching process and summarize the results of peer evaluation of the candidate.

2) Evaluation of teaching: Chairs should address the appropriate points regarding teaching from the following list in the Chair's Evaluation.

* appropriateness of candidate's training and expertise to departmental and institutional needs

* trends, patterns or tendencies in student evaluations interpreted in light of the nature of the courses surveyed (e.g., major/service/general education, required/elective, upper division/lower division)

* teaching effectiveness as indicated by peer review, senior exit interviews, information from Chairs/Directors of other departments/programs in which the candidate has taught, or other methods by which the candidate's teaching has been evaluated.
(Comparison may be made to others in the department or others teaching similar courses with similar loads.)

* class materials such as textbooks, exams; syllabi/course policies

* curricular/pedagogical innovations by the candidate

* supervision of student projects by candidate

* utilization of reassigned time for teaching

* when problems exist in teaching, factors likely to be influencing performance (e.g., types of courses, types of students)

3) Evaluation of scholarly and creative activity: Chairs should address the following points regarding scholarly and creative activity in the Chair's Evaluation. It is imperative that the Chair evaluate these activities in a clear and comprehensive manner because members of the Committee of Tenured Faculty, and the [Provost and] VCAA, often are individuals outside of the discipline.

* basis on which the candidate's work is being evaluated (e.g., Chair's appraisal, consultation with colleagues familiar with the work within or outside the institution)

* quality of the candidate's work, along with corroborative data and/or specific examples

* significance of candidate's activities to his/her teaching, to the Department, to the University, to knowledge in his or her field

* utilization of reassigned time for scholarly and creative activity

when activities in this area are minimal, factors likely to be influencing productivity (e.g., competitiveness of journals, conferences, etc. in the candidate's scholarly or professional area, teaching obligations)

4) Evaluation of service: Chairs should address the following points regarding service in the Chair's Evaluation.

* basis on which candidate's work is being evaluated (e.g., Chair's appraisal, interviews of colleagues and/or community members with whom the candidate has worked)

* significance of the candidate's work to the Department, the institution, the community

- * candidate's performance in advising, including corroborative data and/or specific examples
- * quality of the candidate's other service activities, including corroborative data and/or specific examples
- * when activities in this area are minimal, factors likely to be responsible (e.g. opportunities for service, obligations in other areas)

D. Recommendation: The Chair's Evaluation should conclude with a clear recommendation and a summary of the department/program's expectations, past and future, for the candidate. If the Chair requests reappointment, tenure, or promotion for the candidate, there should be no equivocation. Half-hearted statements will be interpreted as an indication of lack of support for the candidate. In turn, a Chair's recommendation for denial of reappointment, tenure, or promotion should be firm and well reasoned. Courtesy to the candidate requires no less.

Before preparing his/her recommendation the Chair consults with the assembled tenured faculty of the department/program. The Chair should weigh the opinion of the tenured faculty carefully. In cases where the tenured faculty does not concur with the Chair or expresses serious reservations with the Chair's recommendation, the Chair in the recommendation should delineate these.

2. Comments from Student Evaluation Forms

The Chair is required to provide all comments from annual student evaluation forms administered since the candidate's last review. These comments should be typed but unedited. (The Office of Institutional Research provides numerical summaries of the student evaluation ratings to the Office of Academic Affairs.)

3.6 Grievance Procedure (amended by [SD0710F](#), [SD4189S](#))

3.6.0 Process for Filing a Grievance

Any faculty member having a grievance as defined in Section 14.2 may petition the Faculty Grievance Committee for redress. The petition shall be written and shall set forth in detail the nature of the grievance and the party against whom the grievance is directed. The petition shall contain any information that the petitioner considers pertinent to his case. If the grievance arises out of a single action, the petition should be filed within thirty days from the time that the grievant should have reasonably known that the action occurred. The committee shall decide whether the facts merit a detailed investigation so that submission of a petition shall not result automatically in an investigation or detailed consideration of the petition.

3.6.1 Grievance Committee Procedure

Upon the receipt of a grievance petition, the Chair shall, within one week, summon the committee to meet.

Any committee member who is a party to the grievance or who is in the same academic department as the petitioner(s) shall disqualify himself. In addition, a faculty member who feels he or she has a conflict of interest may, after consultation with the Chair of the Grievance Committee and the Chair of the Faculty Senate, be disqualified.

The Grievance Committee shall assume its duties at the beginning of the academic year and shall continue in those duties until the new committee is formed the following year.

3.6.2 Initial Screening

Mere receipt of a petition does not establish the need for a full grievance hearing. The first effort of the committee is to "decide whether the facts merit a further investigation". This determination shall be made only by a designated committee member who will secure from the grievant details necessary to explain

the petition. Such inquiry shall be made only of the grievant, and findings shall be reported back to the committee simply as allegations. The purpose of this inquiry is to allow members to understand the petition as delivered, to amplify written charges, to remove possible misunderstandings of or ambiguities in the petition, and to ascertain whether at least prima facie the grievant has raised a significant issue.

If it is determined that this is the case, and if mediation has not already been pursued, the grievance will be submitted to mediation.

3.6.3 Mediation

The chair of the grievance committee will assemble a list of mediators to be agreed upon by both parties. Members of the UNCA faculty or staff, members of the faculty or staff of other campuses within the University, or individuals from outside the University community, may serve as mediators, as long as they have successfully completed either (1) formal mediation training that is substantially equivalent to the training required for certification by the North Carolina Administrative Office of the Courts, or (2) formal mediation training that has been specifically designed for use in a university setting. However, mediators may not be members of the committee that hears grievances.

Any costs associated with the mediation will be paid by Academic Affairs. Attorneys will not be allowed to participate in the mediation process, except as mediators.

No record of the mediation process will be produced by the mediator other than an unelaborated written statement to the appropriate authority necessary to invoke the next step in the grievance, i.e., that mediation was attempted, but settlement was not reached. Mediators may not be called as witnesses in any subsequent proceeding. Nothing that is said or done by any party during a mediation process may be referred to by, or used against, a party in any subsequent proceeding and no blame will attach to either party if the mediation does not produce a resolution.

Any mediation agreement that binds the University must be signed by a University official with the authority to bind the University concerning the particular agreement.

Any time limit concerning the grievance will be suspended for the duration of the mediation process.

If mediation does not result in a satisfactory resolution of the grievance, the Grievance Committee initiates a formal inquiry.

3.6.4 Formal Inquiry

The formal inquiry should begin within forty days of the conclusion of unsuccessful mediation unless all parties agree in writing to an extension or the grievance is filed within forty days of spring commencement.

In conducting a formal inquiry, the Grievance Committee shall offer the petitioner(s) and the accused:

- confidentiality of proceedings
- hearings with all parties to the petition present
- the right to bring legal counsel
- the right to offer evidence supporting or refuting charges made in the petition, to cross examine adverse witnesses, to inspect documents presented, and to offer evidence in explanation and rebuttal
- the opportunity to present a summary of their position and to reply to charges made during the hearing
- the right to challenge a committee member's impartiality and right to serve in the formal hearing. If challenged, the committee will make a finding in executive session before proceeding with the hearing.

The committee shall offer to keep a recording of its hearings, and shall allow supervised access to the tape by the petitioners and the accused. A transcript of the tape will not be prepared except on request of one of the parties who shall bear the cost of the transcript.

The committee shall prepare minutes of each of its meetings, summarizing activity and listing in detail its findings and the reasons supporting them.

If the committee determines that an adjustment in favor of the grievant is appropriate, the committee conveys that information to the faculty member, the dean, the department head, or other appropriate administrators. If the relevant administrator does not make the recommended change, or a different satisfactory change, within a reasonable period of time, the grievant will inform the chair of the committee, who will advise the chancellor of the committee's recommendation. The decision of the chancellor is the final administrative decision, and he or she will notify the faculty member and the appropriate administrator of that decision in writing. The chancellor may consult with the committee prior to making a decision.

If the committee determines that no adjustment in favor of the grievant is appropriate, it will advise the faculty member and the chancellor.

If neither the relevant administrative official nor the chancellor makes an adjustment as recommended by the committee, the faculty member may appeal to the board of trustees by filing a written notice to the chancellor, with evidence of delivery, within 10 days of the receipt of the decision. The notice must include a brief statement of the basis for appeal. If the board agrees to consider the appeal, it does so as expeditiously as possible on a schedule established by the chancellor. The chancellor must inform the grievant of the time limit for filing the petition, the requirement of a written notice of appeal, and the schedule for submission of information to be reviewed by the board. The decision of the board of trustees is final.

3.7 Post-tenure review (SD1000F) (SD6405S) (SD0208F) (SD0709F)

Post-Tenure review at UNC Asheville is a periodic, comprehensive, cumulative review of tenured members of the faculty that emphasizes peer participation. The primary purpose of Post-Tenure Review (PTR) is to ensure continued faculty development and promote faculty vitality.

3.7.1 Objectives of Post-Tenure Review

Entirely separate from reviews for reappointment, tenure, and promotion, PTR is a formative process that focuses on identifying specific areas of strength among senior faculty and, when appropriate, areas requiring more concentrated development efforts. PTR recognizes and respects disciplinary differences in pedagogy and in the focus of faculty professional activities. This process recognizes that each faculty member is reviewed annually by the department chair and that this review is a comprehensive evaluation in the three major areas of teaching, scholarship, and service. The PTR creates a summary of several years of professional activity that may address trends not immediately obvious in an annual comprehensive review and annual faculty record. As professionals, faculty will welcome opportunities for and are committed to professional growth. The faculty assume primary responsibility for the implementation of activities which foster professional growth in ways that support the missions of their programs and the University as well as their own professional career(s). Professional development plans (see [Section 3.7.3](#) below) will identify resource support necessary to accomplish specified goals. The Provost and VCAA (hereafter referred to as Provost) is responsible for approval of these plans and allocation of any special resource support required to accomplish the objectives of the plan(s).

3.7.2 Procedure for Evaluation

For the purpose of these sections, the words "Department Chair" and "Chair" (except where Post Tenure Review Committee Chair is noted) refers to any faculty member who directly evaluates the PTR evaluatee for merit, reappointment, tenure or promotion.

1. The term "faculty member" includes all persons on a nine or twelve-month contract who teach one half or more of a full load and librarians with faculty rank. A faculty member who accepts an administrative appointment which results in teaching less than one half of a full load will have their PTR review period extended by the time served in this appointment.

2. ~~After an initial phase in period, t~~ Tenured faculty members shall be evaluated every five years. ~~During this phase in period, faculty who have gone the longest since their last review for tenure or promotion will be evaluated first.~~ The Provost may approve the postponement of Post-Tenure Review in a case of illness, leave of absence, family emergency or other similar circumstances.

3. A review for promotion will take precedence over the PTR process and may replace it as follows. When faculty members apply for promotion in the same year they are to be evaluated for PTR, the PTR will be postponed. If successful, the review for promotion will satisfy the requirement for PTR and will start a new five-year PTR clock for the faculty member who is promoted. If the review for promotion is not successful, however, the faculty member will complete the PTR process in the following year, even if the application for promotion is renewed. Thus, post-tenure reviews will be deferred by an application for promotion only once.

4. In the spring before the academic year in which a tenured faculty member is to be evaluated, the Office of the Provost begins the evaluation process by notifying the selected tenured faculty members and requesting them to begin assembling materials for the review committee. In this process, the Office of the Provost serves as facilitator and convener.

5. The evaluation will be performed by a University-wide committee called the Post-Tenure Review Committee (PTRC) [(see Section 10.2.6)].

6. Evaluation of tenured faculty members is peer evaluation. The committee will consider a variety of materials. Peer observation of teaching will always be one of the procedures employed in the evaluation.

7. The PTRC will review a dossier containing documents from several sources. The evaluatee will submit the items noted (see A 1-4, below) to the Chair of his or her department. The Chair will provide items 5 and 6. The Office of Institutional Research will provide item 7. For evaluation of Chairs, the most senior tenured member of the department/program will normally assume the duties of the Chair, as described below.

A. Completed Dossier

The evaluatee's dossier is assembled by the Chair (or, for evaluation of Chairs, by the most senior tenured member of the department) and submitted to the PTRC. The complete dossier will include, in order:

- 1) The Evaluatee's Statement focusing on the five years of the PTR review period (submitted by Evaluatee to Chair)
- 2) The Professional Curriculum Vitae (submitted by Evaluatee to Chair)
- 3) Chair's Evaluation (prepared by the Chair, or for the review of Chairs, by the most senior tenured member of the department)
- 4) Results from Peer Observation of Classroom Teaching (prepared by the Chair)
- 5) Annual Faculty Records (past five years, collected by the Office of the Provost and submitted to the Chair)
- 6) Merit Evaluations (past five years, collected by [Office of the] Provost and submitted to the Chair)
- 7) Summary of numbers from course evaluations over the past five years (provided by Office of Institutional Research to the Chair). Written student comments may be requested by the PTRC and will be made available.

Failure of the evaluatee to provide materials for his or her dossier in a timely fashion may result in sanctions imposed by the Provost.

B. The Evaluatee's Statement

1) Purpose: The Evaluatee's Statement should be a reflective, ~~(STRIKE COMMA)~~ self-assessment that comments on the evaluatee's past, present and future contributions to the department, ~~[and] the university and their missions~~. This statement may also be used to discuss factors and extenuating circumstances affecting the evaluatee's performance, which are not usually covered in the listing of activities by category. The evaluatee should refer to categories outlined in [Section 3.5.4.3](#) of the Faculty Handbook.

2) Format: The Evaluatee's Statement should be written as a cover letter to the Chair of the PTRC. The statement is written in narrative form; possible issues to address, along with categories and guidelines for evaluating performance, are discussed in [Section 3.5.4.3](#). The Evaluatee should also ~~outline~~ briefly [outline] his or her professional plans for the next five years, ~~again~~, in the context of the [missions of the] department and university ~~mission~~, which may also include a discussion of resources required.

C. The Professional Curriculum Vitae

1) Purpose: The complete curriculum vitae puts the evaluatee's professional work at UNC Asheville into perspective relative to the individual's career in general. This allows the PTRC to evaluate the individual's recent activities or changing emphases at UNC Asheville in light of his or her previous levels of activity and responsibilities.

2) Format: The vita should be written in a format appropriate to apply for an academic position in the discipline. It should include information about the evaluatee's education, degrees, awards, honors, professional employment ~~and most important~~, papers/publications/artistic activities, grant activities, professional consultancies and major service activities.

D. Materials supporting professional activity (submitted by evaluatee to Department Chair, if requested)

1) Purpose: Materials selected by the evaluatee are meant to emphasize or augment the vita regarding teaching, scholarly and service activities. Materials provided should be chosen to illuminate the quality of the evaluatee's activities rather than duplicate those reported in the vita.

2) Format: Materials provided by the evaluatee should be representative and only a sampling, supporting:

a) Teaching: Representative syllabi, assignments, student work or other materials illustrating teaching practices and student learning.

b) Scholarship/Creative Activity: Representative scholarly papers, letters of commendation, awards, reviews of scholarly, creative or performance activities.

c) Service: Representative professional service activities to local or greater community, letters of commendation, awards, etc.

~~E. Results of Peer Observation of Teaching (provided by the Chair of the department and assembled in the dossier submitted to the PTRC)~~

~~1) Purpose: Evaluations of teaching by peer observation supplement other measures of effective teaching.~~

~~2) Format: Each peer observer should submit a one to two page written summary of his or her observations to the faculty member, and the Chair of the evaluatee's department. Reports must include specific comments on the dimensions cited above.~~

~~F[E]. Chair's Evaluation (provided by the Chair of the department and assembled in the dossier submitted to the PTRC)~~

1) Purpose: The Chair's Evaluation has always been central to decisions concerning reappointment, tenure and promotion. It is a summary evaluation that, when viewed together with the evaluations appended to the Faculty record, provides an historical account of the evaluatee's overall performance as viewed by the Chair.

2) Format: The Chair's Evaluation should be written in simple narrative form, to the Chair of the PTRC, addressing the evaluatee's past, present and future contributions to the department, [and] the university ~~and their missions~~. This statement may also be used to address factors and extenuating circumstances affecting the evaluatee's performance, which are not usually covered in the listing of activities by category. The Chair must consult with faculty colleagues and report on that consultation (as is the case for reappointment, tenure and promotion reviews, see [Section 3.5.4.4](#)). For purposes of faculty development, the Chair should discuss the evaluation with the faculty member, (STRIKE COMMA) prior to submission of the evaluation to the PTRC.

8. The faculty member and his or her chair will provide all materials to the Office of Academic Affairs by ~~December 4~~ [the date specified by the Post-Tenure Review Committee].

9. For Post-Tenure Review of Chairs, the most senior tenured member of the department will normally assume the duties of the Chair. However, a Chair who perceives a conflict of interest with that person may petition the Provost to appoint another tenured faculty member to those responsibilities. If no tenured member is available within the department, the Provost may appoint one from a department within the same division of the university, giving weight to seniority of UNC Asheville service and consulting with the Committee on Faculty Welfare and Development.

[F. Results of Peer Observation of Teaching (provided by the Chair of the department as a separate document and assembled in the dossier submitted to the PTRC)

1) Purpose: Evaluations of teaching by peer observation supplement other measures of effective teaching.

2) Format: Each peer observer should submit a one-to-two page written summary of his or her observations to the faculty member, and the Chair of the evaluatee's department. Reports must include specific comments on the dimensions cited above.]

3.7.3 Results of Post-Tenure Review

1. The PTRC will write a report following the objectives of PTR given in section 3.7.1 that will go to the faculty member, the department chair, and the Program Area Dean to be submitted by March 1.

2. The PTRC will make a recommendation directly to the Program Area Dean. Drawing on the contents of the report, the Program Area Dean conveys the recommendation of the PTRC to the candidate. The recommendation will be one of the following:

A. The faculty member has performed at a Successful level. The recommendation will be sent to the faculty member, with copies to the Chair and the Provost. The recommendation will express collegial appreciation for contributions to the mission of UNC Asheville, and will take note of any performances, accomplishments or contributions that appear excellent or exemplary. The Post-Tenure Review process will then be complete.

B. The evaluatee has one or more areas that require concentrated development efforts. The recommendation will be sent to the evaluatee and the Chair. The Report will identify which of the three major areas of teaching, scholarship and/or service are of concern while noting any performances or accomplishments that appear commendable or excellent. The faculty member may challenge the recommendation by petitioning in writing to the Provost within 14 calendar days of receiving the recommendation.

If the Provost affirms the recommendation after considering a challenge, or the recommendation is unchallenged, the faculty member will construct a Development Plan in consultation with the Chair and the Program Area Dean. The Plan will both address which of the three major areas of teaching, scholarship and service warrant improvement, and include specific steps to lead to that improvement. The Plan will include a time when the evaluatee will again be reviewed by the PTRC - no less than one year later, up to three years later. The Chair and the Provost will review the plan to determine resource implications. The Plan must be approved by the Provost. The evaluatee will meet at least semi-annually with the Department chair or academic unit head during the development period to assess progress.

Development Plans should include provision for mentoring peers who are requested by the Evaluatee and approved by the Provost. Mentoring peers should be senior members of the faculty who are skillful in collegial relationships and recognized for excellence in the area(s) requiring improvement. On request a mentoring peer may be appointed before the Development Plan is finalized.

3. At the conclusion of the term specified in the development plan the evaluatee will be reviewed a second time by the PTRC. The committee will review the original file, the development plan, and a new file documenting developmental progress. In all of the following contingency proceedings, the PTR Advisory Report will be sent to the Provost for review and final decision, with copies to the evaluatee and the chair. The PTRC now can make one of three recommendations to the Provost:

A. The evaluatee is performing at a Successful Level. The advisory Report will recognize developmental progress and take note of any added accomplishments, performances or contributions to the mission of UNC Asheville which are commendable or excellent.

B. The evaluatee has made some progress toward remediating problem areas but should continue his/her efforts,

C. The evaluatee has failed to make any progress toward improvement and warrants sanctions. In a case that warrants sanctions the Provost will decide the nature of these sanctions. Before implementing sanctions the Provost should consult with the PTRC, much as the Provost now consults with the Committee of Tenured Faculty before issuing a denial of tenure, but the final decision, as with tenure, is up to the Provost.

If the Provost affirms a PTRC Report that recommends continued development work, the evaluatee will revise the plan in consultation with his/her Chair and the Program Area Dean and come before the PTRC one final time in 1-2 years.

4. If a final review is warranted, the PTRC now can make only one of two recommendations:

A. The PTRC advises that the evaluatee is performing at a Successful Level. The Report will acknowledge developmental progress and take note of any new performances, accomplishments or contributions to the mission of UNC Asheville that appear excellent or commendable.

B. The PTRC advises that the evaluatee has failed to make sufficient progress toward improvement and warrants sanctions.

5. Any sanctions including discharge or other disciplinary action imposed on faculty members for continuing deficiency in performance shall be in compliance with the criteria and procedures for due process as established in Chapter VI, Sections 602, 603, and 605 of The Code of the University of North Carolina.

3.8 FORMS for Section 3.0

[Faculty Record](#)

[Faculty Performance Appraisal](#) (aka "Chair's evaluation")